G. R. S. (X.)

Previous references

File No.

192

Serial Nos.

( )

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos

Part B for avril 21.

SUBJECT.

[illegible]

Myde e Mme Sept
72 : 23.4.21
the report
Retain.

WD 2233—G.P.B.—20,000—14-6-20

G. R. S. 11] 1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Tanjore)

NOTES.

File No 646 / 19

FILE HEADING.

Management of the Properties endowed to the Sri Kasivisvanathaswami Temple at Seringapatam. R. A. Subject No 176 of the R. A. Proceedings of Oct. 1819.

From

No.	Dated	Received	
Diary No. 1878	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

4

Mr. Ramachandra Ananda Gosa represented as follows at the last meeting of the Assembly, dated October 1920.

"There is a temple of Sri. Ka—

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB - 20 006—8-8-14

File No. 666 of 19

Serial No.

- Viswanath Swami near Sringeri for which an endowment of 150 Candies of paddy has been made by the founder of the temple, besides a pariharra allowance of Rs 17/-. The management of the temple was handed over to Govt in the year 1882-83. Sankarajayanti Sivaratri, &c are not observed in the temple and not even the daily worship. The Dharma darsis never visit the temple even once a year. The temple is in disrepair. The Gopura - Gopura and Bhandara have fallen down. The road leading to the temple which was at one time 33 feet wide is only 10 or 12 feet now, the rest having been encroached upon. Hundreds of petitions have been presented to the Sringeri Seerkar, and other authorities but no action has been taken."

In reply it was stated that "this is an individual case and is noted. Other cases, if brought to us will be looked into."

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 646 of 1919

Serial No.

1) In the year 1820 one Appa Bharati Gosaiya of Mysore erected a temple at the Gosayi Ghat at Kanyam and installed therein Sri Kasiviswesvara and the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur granted certain inam lands measuring 24 acres and 34 guntas and assessed Rs 193/- in the Kirangur village of the Sompeta Taluk for its maintenance. The management of this institution was entrusted by a line of Gosayi ascetics, the last of whom, by name Bhaskaraya Bharati died in 1895. For some years prior to the death of Bhaskaraya Bharati, the affairs of the temple were not being properly managed and the income from the inam lands endowed thereto were also misused. On the recommendation of the then Dy Commr, the management of this temple and the properties

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

(8-14

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 646 of 1919

Serial No.

Inwgram
{ 33 of 1896-97 Sect^n V
Inwg 14-06 Sl 1-18
Inwg 604-18 Sl 75

ensured that were taken over by Govt for management and the Dy Commr was in G.O. No 920-1 33-96 dated the 8th February 1897 directed to take over the temple and its properties under the direct management of the Inwgn dept. and place the same under the Govt management of the Tahsildar Amildar. The Amildar of the Seringapatam Taluk assumed charge of the inam lands in April 1897, and since then the temple and its affairs are administered by Govt.

Submd for orders with previous references

May the Dy Commr be asked to report on the representation?

Cb.
9/4/20. 9.4.20

by the Darkhast Subject of order in which recommendation from the Darkhast be sent, may action be taken on the subject as noted in the Mops Book?

9-14-20

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Serial No.

5 Refer required to File 14 of 1906 — In para 10 of the order dt 10.11.20 the Govt have re-invoked the order of 1897, as the management had not been completely assumed by the Govt.

H.L.

6 A petn addressed to Ramakrishna Iyer Jr. Gumasta in Govt's Complaining of the unsatisfactory management of the temple has been forwarded to Govt for disposal

Fk. 104—1918

7 Govt need be, a report may be called for — Draft put up

20/4/20

8 The representation made at the last session of the Representative Assembly may be referred to the Committee for their report. The disposal of the Petitioners case is within this Competence

Second Member 21/4/20

P.T.O.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G.R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

678

File No. 61/6 of 19 5

Serial No.

6481-191
10-4-1920

4 N.C. is called for a report on the allegations made, then forward the petition on to the D.C. for mere disposal. What is likely to happen in the taluk case can easily be anticipated & this is to be avoided. The case may be dealt with further after receipt of the report called for

in Draft
12/4/20

Final
12.4.20

AMT 12/4

11 Draft submitted for approval
CB
13/4/20

AMT 16/4

13/4/20
Topen)

returned 17/4/20

AMT 17.4.20

To N.C. Mysore —

No. 1614 Dy. 21-4-20.

[illegible] dated [illegible] 1919 [illegible]
a petition [illegible]
[illegible]
of the Administration

NOTE— Contn. sheet

GPR—50,000—12.8.10

12]
and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwards File No. 646 of 1919

Serial No.	
3	Letter No 645 of 19-20/ Inwards dated the 10th March 1920 from the Dy Comm., Mysore Dt., submitting a detailed report in regard to the management of the affairs of Kesi Visweswara Swamy temple at Seringapatam. 13 The Dy Comm. reports that puja is being regularly performed in the temple, that the temple and its surroundings are all kept clean, ~~that a watchman is~~ by a watch-man, that the temple is in a tolerably good repair, that there would be no cause for complaint if the repairs recently sanctioned by Govt are completed and that he is of opinion that the representations of ~~the~~ the Purushottamananda Giri Swami under reference are exaggerated ones. Submitted for orders C.B. 5/4/20 14 The correspondence may if approved be recorded. S.A. 5/4/20 Allah 6/4 Secy)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 646 of 1919.

Serial No.

4 (Article 4 attempts the Substance of the Report to the Member who made the Representation Second Member) 7/4/21

5150-161
3-4-21

A. appeared. I think this is the usual practice also.

Draft 11/4/21

11-4.

cc 11-4-21

Draft Submitted
14/4/21 14-4-21

Approved 19/4

Issued 20/4/21

4 To, D.C. Khulna & Barisal Sir

No. 3772-3 Dt. 20-4-1921

Records the D.C.'s report in the matter of requests to nominate a member in connection with the purport of his report.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Inspn
File No. Sur—17
Serial No. 21

Inry No. of letter replied to..1878

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(VU)
, the 191 .

No. 3614

Date of issue.....21:4:20.....

Signed by...Mr A. Sn. Iyer.....

To The Dy Comr,
Inspn Dt

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to Subject No 176 of the R. A Proceedings of October 1919 in the Management of the affairs of the Kasi Viswanathaswamy Temple at Seringapatam, and to request You to be so good as to submit

very early, a detailed report on the several points urged in the representation.

I have &c

W. 17/4/20

Secy to Govt.
Irrig Deptt

SB -
17/4/20

AMT 16/4

SB.
13/4/20

List of enclosures-

Copied by

Examined by-

WD 1741—(1)2 -30,000—19-8 19

EV Vol 1883

1920 21
SECTION 1922
FILE No. 646-19

66681

1920 01.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district

To The Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore
Railway & Ports, Bangalore
Department, Bangalore.

Dated
Despatched } 10th March 1921.
Received 12 MAR 1921

General No. Reference Govt. order
No.

Enclosure No.

Sulkunte, a detailed report in rega
to the case of the affair. I
Kasi Visvan having completed

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore the 10th March 21.

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

T.L.Jagarama Iyer,Esq. B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.3614 Muz.646-19-2 dated 21st April 1920, calling for a detailed report on the several points urged in the representation (subject No.176) of the Representative Assembly Proceedings of October 1919 in regard to the management of the affairs of Kasi Viswanathaswamy temple at Seringapatam, I have the honor to submit herewith a detailed report dated 11th September 1920 received from the Amildar of Seringapatam taluk on every one of the allegations made by Mr.Purushottamanandagiri Gosai (vide file A). The Sub Division Officer also states in his letter No.R.P.D. 329/20-21 dated 17th September 1920 that he paid a surprise visit to the temple and found it to be in tolerably good repair and that there will be no cause for any complaint if the repairs sanctioned in Government Order No.9-10 Muz.711-19-2 dated 1st July 1920 are completed. It is also reported that the services etc in the temple are being regularly conducted.

In these circumstances Mr. Purushottamanandagiri Gosai's representations under reference seem to be exaggerated.

The entire file of vernacular correspondence on the subject

subject accompanies.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,

Angul District.

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT..... ....

. ...

....

Diary No. of letter replied to.. 1883

Section Emp.

File No. 646-19

Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

(VU)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue..... 23-6-21 .....

Signed by.....

Read— Subject No 176 of the R.A. Proceedings of October 1919 regarding the alleged mismanagement of the affairs of the Sri Kasi Viswanathaswamy-temple in the Gosayi street at Seringapatam.

2) Correspondence ending with letter No 645-46/19-20 Emp. dated the 10 March 1921 from the DC Comr, Mysore Dt.,

Order Thereon No—

~~Submitting a detailed report on the representation referred to above and~~

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

stating that it is reported that puja is being regularly performed in the temple, that the surroundings of the temple are kept clean and that if the repairs recently sanctioned are executed there would be no cause for complaint and adding that the representations of the Purushothamanadeva Giri Swamiji are much exaggerated.

order No. 3772-3 Encl enclosed / Mad 616-49-4 / 23.4.21

Recorded

The Dy Commr. is requested to intimate the substance of his report to the Member who made the representation.

List of enclosures.

Sec'y, Emigration Dept.

14.4.21

To

The Dy Comr, Nyanasth, returning records.

The Emigration Inspector, Nyanasth.

Copy to Karaikal Section

C.K.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—30,000—17-3-19